

# HYPERBOLIC SUB-DYNAMICS: COMPACT INVARIANT 3-MANIFOLDS

JANA RODRIGUEZ HERTZ

**ABSTRACT.** In 1970, Hirsch asked what kind of compact invariant sets could be part of a hyperbolic set. Here we obtain that, in case such an invariant set is a 3D manifold, it is a connected sum of tori with handles quotiented by involutions. Moreover, if the manifold is orientable, the involutions are all trivial.

In 1975, Mañé characterized hyperbolic dynamics restricted to manifolds and called them quasi Anosov. We also classify here quasi-Anosov dynamics in 3D-manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, Hirsch asked what kind of compact invariant sets could lie in a hyperbolic set. In particular, he asked whether the restriction of a diffeomorphism to a hyperbolic set that is a manifold induced an Anosov diffeomorphism, and found conditions under which an affirmative answer is obtained [2]. In 1975, Mañé found a characterization of hyperbolic dynamics when restricted to compact invariant manifolds, which he called *quasi Anosov diffeomorphisms* [3]. Finally, in 1976, Franks and Robinson gave an example of a quasi Anosov diffeomorphism in a connected sum of two  $\mathbb{T}^3$  that is not Anosov [1], giving a negative answer to the question posed by Hirsch. This example consists essentially in considering a linear Anosov system on a torus, say  $T_1$ , and its inverse on another torus  $T_2$ . They produce appropriate perturbations on each torus (DA diffeomorphisms) around their respective fixed points. Then they cut suitable balls containing these fixed points, and carefully glue together along their boundary so that the stable and unstable foliations intersect quasi-transversally. The aforementioned characterization by Mañé yields a quasi-Anosov diffeomorphism in the connected sum of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , what implies that  $T_1 \# T_2$  is a compact invariant subset of some hyperbolic set.

An example of a quasi Anosov diffeomorphism in a non orientable 3-manifold may be found in [7]. The example is similar to Franks and Robinson's, but a quotient by an involution is done to the dynamics in the  $T_i$ 's before gluing them together.

Here we show that *all* the examples of 3D compact invariant manifolds that lie in hyperbolic sets are connected sums of these. More precisely,

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f : N \rightarrow N$  be a diffeomorphism, and let  $M \subset N$  be a hyperbolic set for  $f$  such that  $M$  is a 3D closed sub-manifold. Then  $M$  is the connected sum of a finite number tori with  $r$  handles quotiented by involutions. That means, the Kneser decomposition of  $M$  is*

$$M = \#_i (\mathbb{T}^3 / \theta_i) \# r (S^1 \times S^2) \quad i > 0, \quad r \geq 0$$

where all  $\theta_i$  are involutions.

Moreover, in case  $M$  is orientable then all the involutions are trivial.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D05; 37D20.

Partially supported by Fondo Clemente Estable 9021.

We could also say that hyperbolic 3-manifolds are *generalized connected sums* of  $k$  tori quotiented by involutions, where the generalized sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  consists in taking away  $r$  cells from  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and gluing together along the boundary of the cells by means of a reversing orientation diffeomorphism.

The dynamics on these sets is also classified:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f$ ,  $M$  and  $N$  be as in theorem A. And call  $g = f|_M$  (so  $g$  is a quasi Anosov diffeomorphism). Then there exists  $n > 0$  such that*

- (1) *The non-wandering set  $\Omega(g^n)$  consists of  $k$  connected codimension one expanding attractors  $A_i$  and  $m$  connected codimension one shrinking repellers  $R_j$ .*
- (2) *If  $\{W_l\}_{l=1}^{k+m}$  are the basins of  $A_i$  and  $R_j$ , then each restriction  $g^n|_{W_l}$  is topologically equivalent to quotient functions  $f_l^n/\theta_l$  acting on  $(\mathbb{T}^3 \setminus P_l)/\theta_l$  where*
  - $f_l$  are DA maps of  $\mathbb{T}^3$ ,
  - $P_l$  are finite sets of  $f_l$ -periodic points,
  - and  $\theta_l$  are involutions commuting with  $f_l$
- (3)  *$M$  is the connected sum of  $k + m$  (quotiented) tori with handles.*
- (4) *If  $M$  is orientable then  $\theta_l = id$  for all  $l$ .*

Observe that all quasi Anosov diffeomorphisms can be obtained as the restriction of a diffeomorphism to a hyperbolic set which is a sub-manifold (see theorem C), so in particular we obtain a classification of quasi-Anosov dynamics in dimension 3. This completes a description started in [5].

Let us remark that, from all examples above, only  $\mathbb{T}^3$  can be an invariant subset of any known Anosov system, due to a result by A. Zeghib . In that case, the dynamics is Anosov. See [6]

Let us also mention that hyperbolic sets which are 2D manifolds are always tori, and the dynamics on them is Anosov. The question remains open for hyperbolic submanifolds of higher dimensions .

*Acknowledgemets* I thank the Department of Mathematics of the U. of Toronto, where part of this work has been done, and M. Shub for kind hospitality during my stay. I am also indebted to the Departamento de Matemática of Cuernavaca, specially A. Arroyo and J. Seade. I thank F. Rodriguez Hertz, R. Ures and A. Zeghib for useful comments.

## 2. PROOF

A diffeomorphism  $g : M \rightarrow M$  is called *quasi Anosov* if  $\|Dg^n(x)v\|_n$  is unbounded for each non zero vector of  $T_x M$ . There is a relation between quasi Anosov diffeomorphisms and *hyperbolic sub-manifolds* (sub-manifolds that are hyperbolic sets).

**Theorem C.** [3] *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$g : M \rightarrow M$  is quasi Anosov*
- (2) *There is a diffeomorphism  $f : N \rightarrow N$ , and an embedding  $i : M \hookrightarrow N$  verifying  $fi = ig$  such that  $i(M)$  is a hyperbolic sub-manifold for  $f$ .*
- (3)  *$g$  is Axiom A and all points  $x \in M$  satisfy  $T_x W^s(x) \cap T_x W^u(x) = \{0\}$*

Condition described in item (3) is called *quasi-transversality*. Observe that, as a corollary, quasi Anosov diffeomorphisms satisfy Axiom A and the no cycle condition. A hyperbolic set  $A$  is a *codimension one expanding attractor* if  $W^u(x) \subset A$  and  $\dim W^u(x) = \dim M - 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . Codimension one shrinking repellers are defined analogously. A codimension one expanding attractor is *orientable* if the intersection index

$W_{loc}^s(x) \cap W_{loc}^u(y)$  is constant at all intersection points with  $x, y \in A$ . Then we have the following:

**Theorem D.** [4] *If  $A$  is a codimension one expanding attractor for  $g : M^n \rightarrow M^n$  such that  $W^s(A)$  is connected, then there is a DA map  $f$  of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , a finite set  $P$  of  $f$ -periodic orbits, and an involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  commuting with  $f$ , fixing  $P$ , and making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T}^n \setminus P & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{T}^n \setminus P \\ p_\theta \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\theta \\ W^s(A) & \xrightarrow{g} & W^s(A) \end{array} \quad \text{where } p_\theta : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n/\theta \text{ is the canonical projection}$$

*In particular,  $W^s(A)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^n/\theta$  minus a finite number of points. If  $A$  is orientable, then  $\theta = id$ .*

Finally, let us state

**Theorem E.** [7] *If  $M^3$  is orientable, then all codimension one expanding attractors are orientable.*

Since a codimension one expanding attractor of an Axiom A  $g$  can be written as a finite union of connected codimension one expanding attractors of  $g^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , the whole thing is reduced to proving  $\Omega(g)$  consists of codimension one attractors and repellers.

**Proposition 1.** *All attractors of 3D quasi Anosov diffeomorphisms are codimension one expanding attractors.*

Let us say that a basic set is  $(s, u)$  if its stable dimension is  $s$  and its unstable dimension is  $u$ . Being  $\Lambda_i$  and  $\Lambda_j$  basic sets, let us denote  $\Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda_j$  if  $W^u(\Lambda_i) \cap W^s(\Lambda_j) \neq \emptyset$ . Observe that this relation induces a connected graph in  $\Omega(g)$  which has no cycles. Also,  $\Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda_j$  implies that  $s_i \geq s_j$  due to item (3) in theorem C above.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $A$  is an attractor and  $\Lambda$  is a  $(1, 2)$  set such that  $\Lambda \rightarrow A$  then  $\Lambda = A$ .*

Remark above immediately implies that  $A$  is a codimension one expanding attractor. Moreover, definition applies so  $A \cup W^u(\Lambda)$  is a codimension one expanding attractor. The rest follows from Plykin's theorem above since  $\Lambda \rightarrow A$  implies  $W^s(A \cup W^u(\Lambda))$  is connected.

So, this proves that, unless the diffeomorphism is Anosov, all maximal chains must have a change of index. Also, that all maximal chains are of the form  $R \rightarrow A$  where  $R$  is a codimension one shrinking repeller and  $A$  is a codimension one expanding attractor. Each repeller/attractor generates a 3-torus and, in case they are related, a connected sum à la Franks-Robinson arises. Let us finally observe that these models are not stable (indeed, it is easy to obtain perturbations whose dynamic behavior is very different), but are  $\Omega$ -stable.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Franks, C. Robinson, A quasi-Anosov diffeomorphism that is not Anosov, *Trans. AMS*, **223** (1976) 267-278.
- [2] Hirsch, M. On invariant subsets of hyperbolic sets. Springer, New York (1970) 126-135
- [3] R. Mañé, Quasi-Anosov diffeomorphisms and hyperbolic manifolds *Trans. AMS*, **229** (1977) 351-370.

- [4] R. Plykin, The geometry of hyperbolic attractors of smooth cascades, *Russian Math. Surveys* **39**, 6 (1984) 75-113.
- [5] J. Rodriguez Hertz, R. Ures, J. Vieitez, On manifolds supporting quasi-Anosov diffeomorphisms, *C.R. Acad. Sci.* **334**, 4, 321-323 (2002)
- [6] A. Zeghib, Subsystems of Anosov systems, *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 1431-1448
- [7] E. V. Zhuzhoma, V. S. Medvedev, On nonorientable two-dimensional basic sets on 3-manifolds. *Sb. Math.* **193** (2002), no. 5-6, 869-888

IMERL-UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA

*E-mail address:* `jana@fing.edu.uy`